

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2741 Case No. 16-md-02741-VC
<p>This document relates to:</p> <p><i>Bailey v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:24-cv-01595-VC</p> <p><i>Carmiel v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:22-cv-03389-VC</p> <p><i>Kocur v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:24-cv-01445-VC</p> <p><i>Martin v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:23-cv-05241-VC</p> <p><i>McAlister v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:24-cv-00684-VC</p> <p><i>Popp v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:24-cv-01613-VC</p> <p><i>Repine v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:23-cv-06435-VC</p> <p><i>Sliva v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:24-cv-01615-VC</p> <p><i>Teague v. Monsanto</i>, Case No. 3:23-cv-04605-VC</p>	<p>ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MONSANTO'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO SUBMIT A PLAINTIFF FACT SHEET</p> <p>Re: Dkt. Nos. 19572, 19609</p>

Monsanto filed motions to dismiss with prejudice for failure to submit a plaintiff fact sheet in each of the above-captioned cases. It subsequently withdrew the motion in all but four of

the cases, which are listed below. *See* Dkt. No. 19680. The plaintiffs in the remaining four cases have not filed oppositions to Monsanto's motions. Therefore, Monsanto's motions to dismiss with prejudice in the following four cases are granted as unopposed. Monsanto's motions in the other cases are denied as moot.

Sliva v. Monsanto,
Case No. 3:24-cv-01615-VC

Teague v. Monsanto,
Case No. 3:23-cv-04605-VC

Repine v. Monsanto,
Case No. 3:23-cv-06435-VC

Bailey v. Monsanto,
Case No. 3:24-cv-01595-VC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 13, 2025



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge